

**PLANNING APPRAISAL COMMITTEE**

June 2011 Planning Comments

**11/ 01774/O Enterprise Garage, Starling Road** [Case Officer: Lee Cook]

*Demolition of existing light industrial premises, erection of No 6 one bedroom flats and 8 No two bedroom houses with associated bin and cycle stores and car parking.*

We thought this a clever use of the site, with the building line maintained, but the majority of the (very small) houses at the rear of the site.

**11/00557/A 48-72 St Stephens Street** [Case officer: Sarah Platt]

*Display of: 1) 3No. internally illuminated fascia signs; 2) 2 No. non-illuminated fascia signs; 3) 2 No. externally illuminated fascia signs; 4) 3No internally illuminated project signs.*

We strongly object to any signage higher than the canopy; it would spoil the overall look of the building as it sweeps down St Stephens. It must be remembered that this is the main gateway into Norwich from the south and every attempt should be made to improve its poor impact.

**11/00732/F 24 Union Street** [Case Officer: Kian Saedi]

*Window replacement works to first floor flat.*

We object to the top hung sashes, but welcome the effort to match the flat below so that there are not too many different window designs. This block is another of the schemes designed by J Nelson Meredith and Leonard Hannaford just before WWII, and needs protection.

**11/ 00766/RM Land at Dowding Road, Taylors Lane and Douglas Close** [Case officer: Mark Brown]

*Reserved matters of appearance, landscaping and layout for previous outline planning permission 07/01427/O 'Erection of 51 dwellings, 25 detached and 26 semi-detached houses with garages. (Revised information)'*

We approve of the way this 'parkland' site has been protected, and the designs are in keeping with the existing ex-RAF houses.

**11/00818/F 16 Waterloo Park Avenue** [Case Officer: Joy Brown]

*Erection of single story extension to front of dwelling.*

We object to this front extension. Front extensions are not acceptable. Nor is a precedent an acceptable excuse. A single front extension wrecks the visual unity of the scheme of houses, especially in the case of matching pairs of semis.

**11/00860/T Telecommunications Mast Opposite 161B Bowers Avenue** [Case Officer:  
Joy Brown]

*Installation of radio base station consisting of a 14.8m slim-line column, 1No  
equipment cabinet and ancillary development thereto.*

We are concerned that this column and its associated cabinet are very prominent and  
therefore also vulnerable. Could they not be moved behind the trees?

---